



**Historic Preservation Board**

**April 1, 2010**

**Minutes & Proceedings**

**NEW BUSINESS**

**Case HB10-06**

**Address:** 618 Emmett St  
**Applicant:** Jacqueline Smith  
**Agent:** Robbie Sanders

**Request:** For a Certificate of Appropriateness to construct a new deck with a metal roof and construct stairs.

Ms. Banks told the Board this is something they have seen before, but the plans have changed just a little bit so they are coming back for a new Certificate of Appropriateness for this.

Ms. Banks said that Mr. Sanders is present to answer any questions.

Robbie Sanders, 142 Round Lake Circle, said the changes included changing the shed roof to a gable roof and the stairs were changed.

Ms. Correa asked if the base of the deck where the posts are is going to be all open underneath the stairwell. Mr. Sanders stated that is correct.

**Motion-** made by Mr. Miles to approve. Second made by Ms. Crabill. All those present voted affirmative. Motion passed.

**Other Business-** Mr. Miles asked the members and staff to reference page 4 of the packet, under the old minutes in the middle of the page under other business, where the Board was discussing "like kind." In the last sentence it states that "they will draft a letter to bring back at a later meeting". Who is "they"? The Board or staff?

Ms. Banks said "they" are the Board members. She did not feel at that time it was directed to her, but if the Board wants her to she can come up with something and she would be glad to do that but staff needs to know what the Board wants staff to do concerning the approval of roofing material and paint colors.

After much discussion the Board decided to have a workshop at the next Board meeting.

**Motion-** Made by Ms. Crabill to have a workshop. Second made by Mr. Miles. All those present voted affirmative. Motion passed.

**Other Business-** Ms. Crabill asked that the other Board members who are frequently absent for the meetings receive a letter asking them to participate in the workshop and meetings.

Ms. McCamey told the Board that she had already mailed letters out.

## **Historic Preservation Board**

**April 1, 2010**

### **Minutes & Proceedings**

**Other Business-** Mr. Beaton told the Board he had been watching on TV the presentation on the riverfront development project. He stated a portion of the riverfront that is South of St Johns Ave., is in the South Historic District and there are no buildings on it. Does that still fall under the purview of this Board for review for changes to the riverfront, since it is in the Historic District?

Ms. Correa stated it is supposed to be compatible with the rest of the architecture. She also stated she had brought this to the attention of Mr. Redd, the developer, at one of the initial meetings for the development project.

**Other Business-** Ms. Banks stated that Mrs. Pellicer is here to speak to the Board in other business.

Pam Garris, 603 Emmett St. (speaking for Ms. Pellicer) asked if Code Enforcement does not find a particular item a violation and it is in the Historic District, particularly the house located at 316 S 9<sup>th</sup> St. has plastic lattice up on the screen porch and the City Code Enforcement officer said it is not in violation and it falls in the historic district, does the South Historic District have the final say and if not who does?

Ms. Banks stated this was the first she had heard about this complaint, evidently the Code Enforcement Officer is the one Ms. Garris spoke with and to appeal the officer's decision you would need to speak to the Officer's Supervisor who is Ms. Banks.

Ms. Banks offered to meet with Ms. Garris and asked her to call and make an appointment and then they would discuss it.

Ms. Garris told the Board the corridor on 9<sup>th</sup> St. needed to be cleaned up, it is an embarrassment to the City. She asked Ms. Banks how come Code Enforcement has not pursued with any violations?

Ms. Banks stated that the City Commission and the Code Enforcement Board adopted a policy & procedure manual to be reactive not proactive, which means they can only write things up from complaints unless it is a Life Safety issue.

Ms. Garris stated that if Code Enforcement is being paid to do a job they should be out doing it What can be done to bring it back to proactive?

Ms. Banks said it would need to be brought to the City Commission to change the ordinance.

Mr. Goodwin asked what the Board's obligation was when something like this comes up?

When there is a house that is in the district and it has been modified and the owner did not come to the Board for approval?

Ms. Banks told him that the City would need to catch them doing it so they can stop them immediately. The City would send them a warning notice giving the property owner 30 days to remove the modification or apply for a COA.

**Motion-** to adjourn made by Mr. Miles. Second made by Ms. Crabill. All those present voted affirmative. Motion passed.

Meeting adjourned at 4:45pm.